




Completed New (Potential) Program Review Signature Page

Name of Program Reviewed: Master of Business Administration


Division Director or Faculty of Record


Dean of Academics


Curriculum Committee Chair


Vice President of Operations

Curriculum Committee Recommendations:

Date of Review:

12-13-18

Criteria	Adopt a Program	Enhance a Program	Reconfigure a Program	Program not recommended
Quality and Strength of the Program as Determined from Feasibility Information	The program's quality is substantial and notable. ✓	The program's quality is substantial but could be strengthened through curricular and/or other program enhancements, e.g. providing additional resources, adding or deleting courses.	The program's quality could be strengthened through reconfiguration, e.g. substantial modification of the curriculum	The program's quality and/or contribution to the institution is not substantial enough to justify its implementation.
Expected Enrollment	The program's expected enrollment is strong. ✓	The program's expected enrollment is adequate but could be strengthened.	The program's expected enrollment needs to be strengthened.	The program's expected enrollment does not meet the expectations for implementation.
Ability to Benefit and Positively Impact Sitting Bull College	Relationships, partnerships, and/or alliances are strong. This Program will benefit the overall mission of the college. The program's development would positively impact other programs. ✓	Relationships, partnerships, and/or alliances could be developed to strengthen the potential program. The program's development would positively impact other programs.	Relationships, partnerships, and/or alliances need to be reconfigured in order to positively impact the college.	Relationships, partnerships, and/or alliances will not positively impact the college.
Cost Effectiveness	The program could sustain itself and be a financial asset to the college. ✓	The program could provide more financial gain with additional efforts.	The program's financial expenditures need to be reconfigured in order to be more cost effective.	The program is not cost-effective.

COMPLETED ELECTRONIC COPY SUBMITTED: _____ (Date)

Developed: January 2008

Revised: April 2008

Revised: February 2009

Revised: September 2013

Revised: 3 November 2017

Approved: June 2008

Approved: February 2009

Approved: October 2013

Approved: 3 November 2017

Revised: November 2008

Revised: January 2011

Revised: October 2015

Approved: January 2009

Approved: 11 February 2011

Approved: 13 November 2015